

CONTENTS

Acronyms and nomenclature	13
List of figures	14
List of tables	15
1 INTRODUCTION	17
1.1 Aircraft conceptual design	18
1.2 The fields of loads, aeroelasticity and weights	19
1.3 The importance of conceptual design	20
1.4 The importance of loads, aeroelasticity and weights	22
1.5 Outline	24
2 STATE OF THE ART	27
2.1 Conceptual design	27
2.1.1 Classical design	28
2.1.2 Monolithic design codes	29
2.1.3 Ad hoc semi-empirical methods implementation	29
2.1.4 Multidisciplinary design and optimization	30
2.1.5 Discussion on conceptual design methods	32
2.2 Methods level definition	32
2.3 Wing loads, aeroelasticity and mass estimation in conceptual design	33
2.3.1 Level 0 methods	33
2.3.2 Level 1 methods	34
2.3.3 Level 2 methods	36
2.4 An advanced design example	36
3 THESIS SCOPE	39
3.1 Requirements	39
3.2 Objectives	40
3.3 Contributions to the field	41
4 PHYSICS-BASED WING WEIGHT ESTIMATION	43
4.1 Methodology	44
4.1.1 Geometry	47
4.1.2 Aeroelasticity	49
4.1.3 Aerodynamics	56
4.1.4 Aircraft loads	59
4.1.5 Wing loads	67
4.1.6 Wing load-carrying structure sizing	70
4.1.7 Strut	75

4.1.8	Weights	78
4.1.9	Optimization	83
4.2	Validation	85
4.2.1	Design dive speed	85
4.2.2	Aeroelastic model	86
4.2.3	Wing loads validation	96
4.2.4	Strut axial load	102
4.2.5	Buckling of composite structures	104
4.2.6	Wing mass	105
5	WING WEIGHT ESTIMATING RELATIONSHIPS	107
5.1	Methodology	108
5.1.1	Cantilever wing	110
5.1.2	Strut-braced wing	117
5.1.3	Twin fuselage	123
5.1.4	Tailless	129
5.1.5	Overall assumptions	132
5.2	Validation	134
5.2.1	Conventional aircraft	134
5.2.2	CFRP conventional aircraft	137
5.2.3	Strut-braced wing	138
5.2.4	Twin fuselage	139
6	SEMI-EMPIRICAL FLUTTER METHOD	141
6.1	Methodology	142
6.1.1	Flutter parameter	143
6.1.2	Reference stiffness and aerodynamic term	146
6.1.3	Aerodynamic term estimation	148
6.1.4	Reference stiffness estimation	151
6.1.5	Empirical flutter parameter	155
6.1.6	A procedure for conceptual design	161
6.2	Validation	163
6.2.1	Conventional aircraft	163
6.2.2	Sensitivity	165
7	CONCEPTUAL AIRCRAFT DESIGN METHODOLOGY	171
7.1	Initial sizing methods	172
7.1.1	Overall process	172
7.1.2	Fuselage sizing	174
7.1.3	Aerodynamics	175
7.1.4	Weights	182
7.1.5	Propulsion	187
7.1.6	Performance	188

7.1.7	Stability and control	190
7.1.8	Flutter	193
7.1.9	Costs	194
7.1.10	Validation	194
7.2	Point design methods	198
7.2.1	Geometry	199
7.2.2	Loads, aeroelasticity and weights	199
7.2.3	Aerodynamics	199
8	DESIGN STUDY: NEXT GENERATION SHORT-RANGE AIRCRAFT	201
8.1	Requirements	202
8.2	Concepts and technologies	203
8.2.1	Concepts	204
8.2.2	Technologies	211
8.3	Initial sizing	212
8.3.1	C-15 conventional EIS 2015 baseline	213
8.3.2	C-45 conventional EIS 2045 baseline	213
8.3.3	CLAM: over the wing nacelles with laminar flow	217
8.3.4	FSW: forward swept wing	219
8.3.5	SBW: strut-braced wing	224
8.3.6	FSBW: forward-swept strut braced wing	228
8.3.7	TwinFus: twin fuselage	229
8.3.8	Tailless	230
8.3.9	Results summary	232
8.4	Point design	236
8.4.1	C-15	237
8.4.2	C-45	243
8.4.3	CLAM	248
8.4.4	FSW	255
8.4.5	SBW	261
8.4.6	Tailless	269
8.5	Final sizing and sensitivity studies	277
8.5.1	Final sizing	281
8.5.2	Sensitivity studies	281
8.6	Evaluation of developed methods	285
8.6.1	Physics-based wing weight	285
8.6.2	Wing weight estimating relationships	286
8.6.3	Semi-empirical flutter	286
8.7	Conclusion	288
9	CONCLUSION	291
9.1	Lessons learned	292
9.2	Recommendations for future work	294

10 BIBLIOGRAPHY	297
11 APPENDICES	307
11.1 Appendix A: Strut effect inclusion in the static aeroelastic equations ...	307
11.2 Appendix B: WERs implementation in Python	310
11.3 Appendix C: Stiffness parameter estimation in Python	313